

Subject card

Subject name and code	Philosophy of mathematics, PG_00176590						
Field of study	Philosophy						
Date of commencement of studies	October 2025		Academic year of realisation of subject			2027/2028	
Education level	Bachelor's studies		Subject group			Obligatory subject group in the field of study Optional subject group Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study	
Mode of study	full-time studies		Mode of delivery			at the university	
Year of study	3		Language of instruction			Polish	
Semester of study	5		ECTS credits			2.0	
Learning profile	academic		Assessment form			exam	
Conducting unit	Division of Logic, Philosophy of Science and Epistemology -> Institute of Philosophy -> Faculty of Social Sciences -> Rector						
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor		dr Bartosz Wcislo				
	Teachers						
Lesson types	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	Number of study hours	30		0.0		20.0	50
Subject objectives	N.d.						

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification
	[FILOZL3_U17] The graduate selects argumentative strategies, constructs critical arguments at an elementary level, formulates responses to criticism.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U20] The graduate clarifies ideas and reconstructs and constructs various types of arguments, referring to the basic premises of a given view.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_K01] The graduate knows the scope of his/her knowledge and skills, understands the need for continuous training and professional development.	N.d.	[SK4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U07] The graduate analyzes philosophical arguments and identifies their key theses and assumptions.	N.d.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[FILOZL3_W03] He/she is familiar with advanced philosophical terminology in a foreign language of choice.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_W02] He/she is familiar with advanced philosophical terminology in Polish	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_W09] He/she knows basic research methods and argumentation strategies proper for one of the blocks of the main philosophical subdisciplines: 1) logic, metaphysics, epistemology, philosophy of mind or 2) ethics, philosophy of politics, social philosophy, or 3) aesthetics, philosophy of culture.	N.d.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U09] The graduate uses the knowledge of the basics of logic and applies typical argumentation strategies.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U10] He/she justifies and criticizes generalizations in the light of available testimonies	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U11] He/she cites the main theses of examined philosophical statements according to their relevance.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[FILOZL3_U08] The graduate detects relationships between the theses of researched written and oral philosophical statements.	N.d.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
Subject contents	N.d.		
Prerequisites and co-requisites	N.d.		
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
		50.0%	100.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	N.d.	
	Supplementary literature	N.d.	
	eResources addresses		
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed			
Work placement	Not applicable		

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.