

Subject card

Subject name and code	SC I: Archaeology of the 19th and 20th century, PG_00151580						
Field of study	Archaeology						
Date of commencement of studies	October 2025		Academic year of realisation of subject			2025/2026	
Education level	Master's studies		Subject group			Obligatory subject group in the field of study Optional subject group Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study	
Mode of study	full-time studies		Mode of delivery			at the university	
Year of study	1		Language of instruction			Polish	
Semester of study	1		ECTS credits			4.0	
Learning profile	academic		Assessment form			credit	
Conducting unit	Institute of Archaeology -> Faculty of History -> Rector						
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor		dr Joanna Dąbal				
	Teachers		dr Joanna Dąbal				
Lesson types	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	0.0	0.0	30.0	0.0	0.0	30
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	Number of study hours	30		2.0		70.0	102
Subject objectives	The aim of the course is to familiarize students with the main problems of contemporary archeology						

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification
	[ARCHMU2_W03] Knows to an in-depth degree the terminology, theories, methodology and tools of description in the field of archaeology and related sciences	Characterizes the methods of research and presentation of contemporary archeology	[SW2] presentation/project/paper/report
	[ARCHMU2_W02] Has an in-depth knowledge of the connections between archaeology and other sciences, allowing to integrate the achievements of various disciplines in the study of a selected era	Has knowledge of contemporary archeology	[SW5] implementation of a problem task
	[ARCHMU2_U04] Knows how to lead a debate	Discusses sensitive topics related to World War II	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[ARCHMU2_U02] Can formulate and test hypotheses related to simple research problems	Is able to formulate hypotheses and use verification tools related to contemporary archeology	[SU5] implementation of a problem task
	[ARCHMU2_U01] Is able to use the knowledge he possesses – formulate and solve complex and unusual theoretical and practical problems through: - proper selection of sources and information derived from them, evaluation, critical analysis, synthesis and presentation of this information, - selection and application of appropriate methods and tools, including advanced information and communication techniques, - adaptation of existing methods and tools or development of new ones	Is able to formulate critical observations regarding the interpretation of archaeological sources	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[ARCHMU2_U03] Can communicate on topics related to archaeology and archaeological heritage with diverse audiences	Is able to communicate on topics related to contemporary archeology	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[ARCHMU2_U07] Is able to interact with others in a teamwork and take a leading role	Is able to undertake team work with division into roles	[SU5] implementation of a problem task
	[ARCHMU2_W05] Has in-depth knowledge that allows him to criticize, analyze and interpret various sources in archaeological research	Defines current problems of contemporary research	[SW1] oral statement/conversation/discussion
	[ARCHMU2_K01] Is ready to critically evaluate his/her knowledge and received content	Is able to criticize data from the field of contemporary archeology	[SK5] implementation of a problem task
	[ARCHMU2_U08] Is able to independently plan and implement his/her own lifelong learning and guide others in this regard	Is able to independently formulate research issues	[SU5] implementation of a problem task
	[ARCHMU2_U06] Is able to manage the work of a team in the conditions of office and field work	Is able to formulate the goals of teamwork during the implementation of the project	[SU8] observation of student's independent or team work
Subject contents	Modern archeology - definitions, history of research Methodology of contemporary archeology Industrial archaeology Archeology of crime Urban archeology Man in the light of contemporary archeology Criticism of archaeological interpretation		
Prerequisites and co-requisites	Knowledge of general prehistory and general history, critical ability to compare various categories of data and indicate relationships. Completion of course subjects in general archeology.		
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
	test	51.0%	30.0%
	Individual and team problem tasks	51.0%	70.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	Dunne A., Raby F., 2013, Speculative everything, London. Hall M., Silliman S. W., 2006, Historical archaeology, Malden (USA), Oxford (UK), Victoria (Australia) (wybrane zagadnienia). Major J.K., 1975, Fieldwork in Industrial Archaeology, London-Sydney.	

	Supplementary literature	Dąbał J., Muntowski P., Szczepanowska K., 2013, Industrializacja południowej części Wyspy Spichrzów w Gdańsku w świetle najnowszych źródeł archeologicznych, red. M. Fudziński, H. Paner, XVII Sesja Pomoroznawcza, s. 373-404. Jones W., 1996, Dictionary of industrial archaeology, Oxford. Haglund W.D., Connor M., Scott D.D., 2001, The Archaeology of Contemporary Mass Grave, Historical Archaeology vol. 35, No. 1, Archaeologists as Forensic Investigators: Defining the Role, s. 57-69. Kola A., 2011, Archeologia zbrodni, Toruń. (lub wcześniejsze wydania) Konczewski P., 2010, Groby z II wojny światowej w Piotrowicach i Mysłowie. Miejsca pochówku ofiar zbrodni czy działań wojennych? ŚSA, t. LII, Wrocław, s. 449-469. Konczewski P., 2011, Archeologiczne badania miejsc zbrodni interpretacja zdarzeń i identyfikacja ofiar. Problemy natury naukowej, prawnej i humanitarnej, [w:] W. Dzieduszycki, J. Wrzesiński (red.), Kim jesteś człowieku? Funeralia Lednickie Spotkanie 13, Stowarzyszenie Naukowe Archeologów Polskich Oddział w Poznaniu, s. 359-366. Trzciński M. (red.), Archeologia sądowa w teorii i praktyce, Warszawa, 2013. Haglund W.D., Connor M., Scott D.D., 2001, The Archaeology of Contemporary Mass Grave, Historical Archaeology vol. 35, No. 1, Archaeologists as Forensic Investigators: Defining the Role, s. 57-69. Kola A., 2011, Archeologia zbrodni, Toruń. (lub wcześniejsze wydania) Konczewski P., 2010, Groby z II wojny światowej w Piotrowicach i Mysłowie. Miejsca pochówku ofiar zbrodni czy działań wojennych? ŚSA, t. LII, Wrocław, s. 449-469. Konczewski P., 2011, Archeologiczne badania miejsc zbrodni interpretacja zdarzeń i identyfikacja ofiar. Problemy natury naukowej, prawnej i humanitarnej, [w:] W. Dzieduszycki, J. Wrzesiński (red.), Kim jesteś człowieku? Funeralia Lednickie Spotkanie 13, Stowarzyszenie Naukowe Archeologów Polskich Oddział w Poznaniu, s. 359-366
	eResources addresses	
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	Implementation of the project of own research on the archeology of battlefields in the 19th and 20th centuries	
Work placement	Not applicable	

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.