

Subject card

| | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|----------|-------------------------------------|--|------------|-----|
| Subject name and code | Classical Philosophical Texts - Medieval Philosophy, PG_00176787 | | | | | | |
| Field of study | Philosophy | | | | | | |
| Date of commencement of studies | October 2026 | Academic year of realisation of subject | | | 2026/2027 | | |
| Education level | Master's studies | Subject group | | | Obligatory subject group in the field of study Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study | | |
| Mode of study | full-time studies | Mode of delivery | | | at the university | | |
| Year of study | 1 | Language of instruction | | | Polish | | |
| Semester of study | 2 | ECTS credits | | | 3.0 | | |
| Learning profile | academic | Assessment form | | | credit | | |
| Conducting unit | Division of Metaphysics, Philosophy of Religion and Contemporary Philosophy -> Institute of Philosophy -> Faculty of Social Sciences -> Rector | | | | | | |
| Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers) | Subject supervisor | dr Robert Koszkało | | | | | |
| | Teachers | | | | | | |
| Lesson types | Lesson type | Lecture | Tutorial | Laboratory | Project | Seminar | SUM |
| | Number of study hours | 0.0 | 60.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60 |
| | E-learning hours included: 0.0 | | | | | | |
| Learning activity and number of study hours | Learning activity | Participation in didactic classes included in study plan | | Participation in consultation hours | | Self-study | SUM |
| | Number of study hours | 60 | | 0.0 | | 15.0 | 75 |
| Subject objectives | nd | | | | | | |

| | | | |
|---|--|---|---|
| Learning outcomes | Course outcome | Subject outcome | Method of verification |
| | [FILOZMU2_W10] The graduate knows and understands in depth the views of a selected leading philosophical author or the current state of research on a selected philosophical issue. | nd | [SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW1] oral statement/ conversation/discussion |
| | [FILOZMU2_W07] He/she knows and understands in depth relations between the main philosophical subdisciplines. | nd | [SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW1] oral statement/ conversation/discussion |
| | [FILOZMU2_W01] The graduate knows and understands in depth the role of philosophical reflection in shaping culture. . | nd | [SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW1] oral statement/ conversation/discussion |
| | [FILOZMU2_W11] The graduate knows the historical development of many leading philosophical ideas at a level which enables specialization within philosophy or social sciences. | nd | [SW4] test/exam - oral or written |
| | [FILOZMU2_U03] Independently interprets philosophical texts, comments on and confronts theses from various texts. | nd | [SU1] oral statement/conversation/ discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written |
| | [FILOZMU2_U01] The graduate searches for, analyses, evaluates, selects, and integrates information from written and electronic sources. | nd | [SU1] oral statement/conversation/ discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written |
| | [FILOZMU2_K07] The graduate has deepened awareness of the importance of humanistic reflection for the formation of social bonds. | nd | [SK1] oral statement/conversation/ discussion [SK4] test/exam - oral or written |
| | [FILOZMU2_K06] The graduate actively participates in activities aimed at preserving the philosophical heritage and using it in understanding social and cultural events. | nd | [SK1] oral statement/conversation/ discussion [SK4] test/exam - oral or written |
| | [FILOZMU2_U05] Precisely formulates complex philosophical problems in speech and writing, puts forward theses and critically comments on them, and determines the degree of significance of the arguments or theses posed for a given problem. | nd | [SU1] oral statement/conversation/ discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written |
| [FILOZMU2_U04] Analyses complex philosophical arguments, including from the perspective of various positions, identifies the theses and assumptions that comprise them, establishes logical and argumentative relationships between theses, and examines their logical correctness. | nd | [SU1] oral statement/conversation/ discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written | |
| Subject contents | nd | | |
| Prerequisites and co-requisites | nd | | |
| Assessment methods and criteria | Subject passing criteria | Passing threshold | Percentage of the final grade |
| | nd | 50.0% | 25.0% |
| | nd | 50.0% | 25.0% |
| | nd | 50.0% | 25.0% |
| | nd | 50.0% | 25.0% |
| Recommended reading | Basic literature | nd | |
| | Supplementary literature | nd | |
| | eResources addresses | | |
| Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed | <p>Przy pomocy jakich argumentów autor tekstu broni wybranych tez?</p> <p>Porównaj stanowisko autora omawianego tekstu z stanowiskiem np. Arystotelesa lub Augustyna.</p> | | |

| | |
|----------------|----------------|
| Work placement | Not applicable |
|----------------|----------------|

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.