

Subject card

Subject name and code	Criminal Procedure I - lecture, PG_00199576						
Field of study	Law						
Date of commencement of studies	October 2026	Academic year of realisation of subject			2028/2029		
Education level	uniform Master's studies	Subject group			Obligatory subject group in the field of study Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study		
Mode of study	full-time studies	Mode of delivery			at the university		
Year of study	3	Language of instruction			Polish		
Semester of study	5	ECTS credits			1.0		
Learning profile	academic	Assessment form			credit		
Conducting unit	Department of Criminal Procedure and Criminalistics -> Faculty of Law and Administration -> Rector						
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor		mgr Dawid Marko				
	Teachers						
Lesson types	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	Number of study hours	30		2.0		0.0	32
Subject objectives	Providing knowledge concerning law of criminal procedure as an instrument for the implementation of substantive criminal law norms and as an instrument for the realisation of the state of procedural justice.						

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification
	[PRAWOJ5_WG05] identifies in depth the various forms of social life, including the rights and duties of individuals in different contexts, with particular reference to the phenomena of violation of the law and their consequences	Student identifies in depth issues related to the rights and obligations of individuals in criminal proceedings, including the significance and role of procedural safeguards, and has in-depth knowledge of the categories and structure of procedural bodies in criminal proceedings.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW1] oral statement/ conversation/discussion [SW5] implementation of a problem task
	[PRAWOJ5_WG07] has an in-depth knowledge of law-making, interpretation and application processes, both international and national, substantive and procedural, private and public law	The student has in-depth knowledge of the processes of making, interpreting and applying criminal procedural law, taking into account not only national law, but also international and EU law.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW1] oral statement/ conversation/discussion [SW5] implementation of a problem task
	[PRAWOJ5_UW03] is able to use complex theoretical approaches and apply them appropriately to the legal problem being solved	Student is able to use complex theoretical approaches to analyse, interpret and design legal strategies in the field of criminal proceedings; is able to generate solutions to specific problems related to criminal procedural law, predict the course of their resolution and anticipate the effects of planned actions.	[SU1] oral statement/conversation/ discussion [SU4] test/exam - oral or written [SU5] implementation of a problem task
[PRAWOJ5_WG01] has an in-depth and structured knowledge of the legal sciences and is familiar with the terminology and concepts of law and related fields	Student has in-depth and structured knowledge of criminal procedural law and is familiar with the terminology and concepts of law and related fields.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written [SW1] oral statement/ conversation/discussion [SW5] implementation of a problem task	
Subject contents	<p>1. Participants to criminal process - case studies concerning, in particular, jurisdiction and composition of the court, reasons for and procedures of exclusion of a judge, procedural situation of a victim in a criminal trial, procedural situation of a defendant in a criminal trial, procedural position of a defence counsel.2. Procedural actions - case studies concerning, in particular, types of procedural decisions, documentation of procedural actions, time limits, formal conditions for pleadings and the institution of correcting a mistake in a decision and correcting a record of a hearing or a session.3. Coercive measures - case studies concerning, in particular, the citizen's apprehension, the mode of detention and the rights of a detained person, the grounds for applying preventive measures and the appealability of decisions made in this respect. 4 Procedural prerequisites - case studies relating in particular to the procedures for the prosecution of offences and conditions of admissibility of a trial. 5 Evidence - case studies concerning, in particular, the introduction of evidence into the trial, the construction of motions for evidence (including the thesis of evidence), individual means of evidence.6. Drafting of selected pleadings and procedural decisions - as far as relevant to the batches of material being dealt with.</p>		
Prerequisites and co-requisites			
Assessment methods and criteria	Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade
	Examination	51.0%	100.0%
Recommended reading	Basic literature	<p>During the course, students make use of normative acts regulating the issues covered in the programme content and selected case law, as indicated by the tutor. Handbook:S. Waltoś, P. Hofmański, Proces karny. Zarys systemu, 16. ed., Wolters Kluwer, Warsaw 2023.</p>	

	Supplementary literature	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. M. Cieślak, Polska procedura karna. Podstawowe założenia teoretyczne, Warszawa 1984 2. J. Grajewski, Przebieg procesu karnego, C.H. Beck, Warszawa 2012 3. J. Grajewski, K. Papke-Olszauskas, S. Steinborn, K. Woźniewski, Prawo karne procesowe - część ogólna, red. J. Grajewski, C.H. Beck, Warszawa 2011 4. J. Grajewski, L. K. Paprzycki (eds.), S. Steinborn, Kodeks postępowania karnego. Komentarz, Wolters Kluwer, Warszawa 2013 5. J. Skorupka (ed.), Kodeks postępowania karnego. Komentarz, C.H. Beck, Warszawa 2020 6. T. Grzegorzczak, J. Tylman, Polskie postępowanie karne, Wolters Kluwer, Warszawa 2022 7. J. Sprack, A practical approach to criminal procedure, Oxford-New York 2011 8. C. Peristeridou, A. Klip (eds.), Comparative Perspectives of Criminal Procedure, Intersentia, Cambridge 2024
	eResources addresses	
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed	<p>1 The institution of the exclusion of the so-called judge suspected of bias (iudex suspectus)2. res judicata as a negative procedural condition3. What legal remedies do you know? What features do they have?</p>	
Work placement	Not applicable	

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.