

Subject card

Subject name and code	Civil procedure II - lecture, PG_00199632						
Field of study	Law						
Date of commencement of studies	October 2026	Academic year of realisation of subject			2029/2030		
Education level	uniform Master's studies	Subject group			Obligatory subject group in the field of study Subject group related to scientific research in the field of study		
Mode of study	full-time studies	Mode of delivery			at the university		
Year of study	4	Language of instruction			Polish		
Semester of study	8	ECTS credits			5.0		
Learning profile	academic	Assessment form			exam		
Conducting unit	Department of Civil Procedure -> Faculty of Law and Administration -> Rector						
Name and surname of lecturer (lecturers)	Subject supervisor		mgr Olga Zinkiewicz-Będźmirowska				
	Teachers						
Lesson types	Lesson type	Lecture	Tutorial	Laboratory	Project	Seminar	SUM
	Number of study hours	30.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	30
	E-learning hours included: 0.0						
Learning activity and number of study hours	Learning activity	Participation in didactic classes included in study plan		Participation in consultation hours		Self-study	SUM
	Number of study hours	30		2.0		93.0	125
Subject objectives	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Familiarizing students with the regulations and norms governing civil procedure, with reference to the positions of jurisprudence and legal doctrine. 2. Preparing students for independent analysis of the discussed regulations. 3. Developing students' practical skills in implementing rights and obligations in civil proceedings, including the realization of individual rights before a court. 						

Learning outcomes	Course outcome	Subject outcome	Method of verification
	[PRAWOJ5_WG01] has an in-depth and structured knowledge of the legal sciences and is familiar with the terminology and concepts of law and related fields	The student: - has in-depth and structured knowledge of the fundamental institutions of civil procedure, its principles, stages, and participants; - knows the specialized legal terminology related to civil procedure and is able to use it in both academic and practical contexts; - understands the place of civil procedure within the legal sciences and its connections with other branches of law.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[PRAWOJ5_WG07] has an in-depth knowledge of law-making, interpretation and application processes, both international and national, substantive and procedural, private and public law	The student: - has in-depth knowledge of the process of enacting, interpreting, and applying the provisions of civil procedural law; understands the relationship between substantive and procedural law in civil proceedings; - is familiar with the basics of applying international and EU law in domestic civil proceedings, including cases with a cross-border element.	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[PRAWOJ5_WG05] identifies in depth the various forms of social life, including the rights and duties of individuals in different contexts, with particular reference to the phenomena of violation of the law and their consequences	The student: - has in-depth knowledge of the rights and obligations of individuals arising from participation in civil proceedings; - understands the legal consequences of violating provisions of civil procedural law (e.g., failure to comply with procedural obligations, abuse of procedural rights).	[SW4] test/exam - oral or written
	[PRAWOJ5_UW03] is able to use complex theoretical approaches and apply them appropriately to the legal problem being solved	The student: - is able to apply advanced theoretical knowledge of civil procedure to analyze and solve specific procedural problems (e.g., admissibility of a legal remedy, assessment of effective service of process); - can appropriately select procedural institutions and legal constructs based on the facts of the case and justify their use with proper legal reasoning.	[SU4] test/exam - oral or written
	[PRAWOJ5_KK02] ability to think critically and solve specific legal problems	The student: - is able to analyze specific procedural problems using legal knowledge and critical thinking, including in complex or disputed situations; - demonstrates readiness to independently formulate legal assessments and make responsible decisions in civil cases, taking into account legal and ethical consequences.	[SK4] test/exam - oral or written

Subject contents	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Functions of civil proceedings and sources of law. 2. General concepts of civil proceedings. 3. Principles and conditions of civil proceedings. 4. Admissibility of legal proceedings, national jurisdiction, court jurisdiction. 5. Parties to the proceedings. 6. Subject of the proceedings. 7. Procedural actions. 8. Costs of proceedings. 9. Deadlines and deliveries. 10. The course of proceedings before the court of first instance, including evidentiary proceedings. 11. Decisions. 12. Remedies. 13. Proceedings before the court of second instance and before the Supreme Court. 14. Separate proceedings in the process. 15. Non-litigious proceedings. 16. Precautionary proceedings. 17. Enforcement proceedings. 18. International civil proceedings - selected issues. 														
Prerequisites and co-requisites															
Assessment methods and criteria	<table border="1" style="width: 100%; border-collapse: collapse;"> <thead> <tr> <th style="width: 40%;">Subject passing criteria</th> <th style="width: 30%;">Passing threshold</th> <th style="width: 30%;">Percentage of the final grade</th> </tr> </thead> <tbody> <tr> <td>open-ended (problematic) question</td> <td>51.0%</td> <td>30.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>case study based on which a draft procedural document should be prepared</td> <td>51.0%</td> <td>30.0%</td> </tr> <tr> <td>multiple-choice test</td> <td>51.0%</td> <td>40.0%</td> </tr> </tbody> </table>			Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade	open-ended (problematic) question	51.0%	30.0%	case study based on which a draft procedural document should be prepared	51.0%	30.0%	multiple-choice test	51.0%	40.0%
Subject passing criteria	Passing threshold	Percentage of the final grade													
open-ended (problematic) question	51.0%	30.0%													
case study based on which a draft procedural document should be prepared	51.0%	30.0%													
multiple-choice test	51.0%	40.0%													
Recommended reading	Basic literature	<p>Literature used during classes:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Broniewicz W., Marciniak A., Kunicki I. (eds.), <i>*Civil Procedure in Outline*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition; 2. Gil I. (ed.), <i>*Civil Procedure*</i>, C.H. Beck, latest edition; 3. Lapiere J., Jodłowski J., Resich Z., Misiuk-Jodłowska T., Weitz K., <i>*Civil Procedure*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition; 4. Rzewuski M. (ed.), <i>*Civil Procedure*</i>, C.H. Beck, latest edition. <p>Literature studied independently by the student:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Pietrzykowski H., <i>*Methodology of a Judge's Work in Civil Cases*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition; 2. Pietrzykowski H., <i>*Procedural Actions of a Professional Representative in Civil Cases*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition. 													
	Supplementary literature	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Dolecki H., <i>*Civil Procedure: An Outline*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition; 2. Ereciński T., <i>*Appeal in Civil Proceedings*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition; 3. Ereciński T. (chief ed.), <i>*System of Civil Procedural Law*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition of each volume; 4. Jakubecki J. (ed.), <i>*Code of Civil Procedure: Commentary*</i>, Wolters Kluwer, latest edition; 5. Marciniak A. (ed.), <i>*Code of Civil Procedure: Commentary. Volumes I and II*</i>, C.H. Beck, latest edition; 6. Świeczkowski J. (ed.), <i>*Act on Court Bailiffs and Execution: Commentary*</i>, C.H. Beck, latest edition; 7. Machnikowska A., Stawarska-Rippel A., <i>*The Principles of Civil Procedure in Poland in the Twentieth Century. Doctrine, Drafts and Law in a Comparative Perspective*</i>, <i>Comparative Law Review</i> 2016 No. 21, pp. 81 - 153; 8. Machnikowska A., <i>*On the Independence of Judges and the Independence of Courts in Difficult Times. The Judiciary Trapped in Efficiency*</i>, Warsaw, Wolters Kluwer 2018. 													
	eResources addresses														
Example issues/ example questions/ tasks being completed															

Work placement	Not applicable
----------------	----------------

Document generated electronically. Does not require a seal or signature.